

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,374	10/29/2003	Frank Barresi	006401.00435 7578	
22908	7590 09/29/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			. LEVY, NEIL S	
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,			1615	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/696,374	BARRESI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		NEIL LEVY	1615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	c(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date 11/03	6) Other:	nent Application (FTO-192)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henderson et al EPO 469690.

The instant animal (Mollusc, bird) control agent at instant concentration of instant sorbent, seed meal (wheat meal) is disclosed at p.3, lines 11-19.

Claims 1-4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ampofo-'95.

Insect control agent, B.T., in sorbent carrier of spent corn grain, provides

Mosquitoe larvacidal activity-see abstract. The instant language is met. The instant
specification explains, but does not define as to permit only applicant's use of the term
'spent'- here it is used embracing as specified, & as applicant wishes to interpret
"spent".

Claims 1- 5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Munson et al 5609880.

Undesired pests are limited in their growth(col. 1, lines 52-59, Col. 2, summary) by applying baits of corn germ, a by-product of corn oil production (col. 3, lines 15-23) usually used for animal feed. Insecticides utilized which interfer with life cycle are at 5-25% (col. 3, bottom, 4, top).

Multi-particulate baits are shown (col 5, lines 27-49).

Aerial spraying is envisoned (col. 7, lines I ines 51-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ampofo '95 in view of MUNSON et al 5609880.

AMPOFO (ABOVE) describes the instant control agent and carrier, but not with spent corn germ. Munson (above) utilizes spent corn germ, but not for mossquitoe larvae control.

All the critical elements of the instant invention are disclosed. The selection of each ingredient is a result effective parameter chosen to obtain desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the carrier of active ingredients to optimize the effects desired', length of time for desired protection, ease of handling, particular target species, for example, and the use of actives and carriers for the functionally for which they are known to be used is not a basis for patentability.

It would be obvious to one in the natural pesticide arts to incorporate these ingredients of known efficacy with known carrier. It would be within the purview of one in the pest control arts to find it obvious to apply the desired amounts and proportions of pesticide with carriers to optimize desired effects. Further, no criticality, or objective showing of non-obvious or unexpected results is shown by the applicant to distinguish over the prior art use of the particular ingredients.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,374

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NEIL LEVY Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615
